

REMARKS

Applicants thank the Examiner for the telephone interview of February 1, 2011.

Double Patenting

Claims 1-4 and 10 are rejected for obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Pat. No. 7,550,495 (the '495 patent). The Advisory Action dated January 13, 2011 states that the previously filed Terminal Disclaimer has not been approved and that the rejection can be overcome by resubmitting a valid Terminal Disclaimer. Applicants submit herewith a Terminal Disclaimer. Accordingly, the rejection is moot. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

For at least the above reasons, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejections and allowance of the claims.

Accompanying this response is the fee required under 37 CFR § 1.20(d), which is paid herewith by credit card. No additional fee is believed due. However, if any additional fee is due, the Director is hereby authorized to charge our Deposit Account No. 03-2775, under Order No. 15652-01309-US from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Respectfully submitted,

By / **Joseph A. Ciardi** /

Joseph A. Ciardi

Registration No.: 64,760

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

1007 North Orange Street

P. O. Box 2207

Wilmington, Delaware 19899-2207

(302) 252-3628

(302) 658-5614 (Fax)

Agent for Applicants